

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/709,935 | 11/13/2000 | John Didomenico | 23439-054-402 | 3776 | |
| 29315 | 7590 11/22/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD | | | CYGAN, M | CYGAN, MICHAEL T | |
| SUITE 900 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RESTON, VA 20190 | | | 2855 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · | QN_ | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/709,935 | DIDOMENICO ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Michael Cygan | 2855 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 November 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper re- ich places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR F | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR) | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require fur | ther consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | e below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. | | | |
| 3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | ection(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ld be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request application in condition for allowance because: | for reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet. | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | s: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 18-28. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-11 and 14-17. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ a | pproved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten | nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |

10. Other: ____

ε. >*

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The specification provides no support for distinguishing the methods of claims 1 & 10 from that of Jack, since at page 5 of applicant's specification, the "reading" is defined merely as providing detector output to the processor. Since Jack discloses the step of providing detector output to the processor, the claims are anticipated by Jack. Applicants cannot claim a non-buffered data reading system when such is not disclosed in the specification; furthermore, the claims do not go so far as to require a non-buffered data reading system.